

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1450 Advandini, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspot.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003

PLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/991,225 11/16/2001		John N. Feder	D0075 NP	3953
23914	7590 10/22/2003	EXAMINER		
STEPHEN E	B. DAVIS	ULM, JOHN D		
BRISTOL-M PATENT DE	YERS SQUIBB COMPA PARTMENT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P O BOX 400	00	1646		
PRINCETON	I, NJ 08543-4000		D. TD. 1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

000			Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
			09/991,225	5	FEDER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit					
			John D. Ul		1646					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than inthit (30) alogs, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than inthit (30) alogs, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANCONED (38 U S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than there months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) Status										
1)□ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>01 A</u>	August 2003							
2a) ☐ T	his action is FINAL. 2	o)⊠ Thi	is action is r	non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims										
4) Claim(s) 42-54 is/are pending in the application.										
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>42-54</u> is/are rejected.										
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
Application Papers										
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
1	pplicant may not request that any obje			-						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:										
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 										
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).										
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.										
Attachment(s)										
2) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTon Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page				y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 09/991,225 Page 2

Art Unit: 1646

 Claims 42 to 54 are pending in the instant application. Claims 1 to 41 have been canceled and claims 42 to 54 have been added as requested by Applicant in the correspondence of 01 August of 2003.

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2) Claims 42 to 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because they are drawn to an invention with no apparent or disclosed specific and substantial credible utility. The instant application has provided a description of an isolated DNA encoding a protein identified therein as HGPRBMY11 and the protein encoded thereby. The instant application does not disclose a credible specific biological role for this protein or its significance to a particular disease, disorder of physiological process which one would wish to manipulate for a desired clinical effect. The factually unsupported assertions contained in the instant specification that a protein of the instant invention may be used to treat, diagnose or regulate essentially every disease, disorder or biological process known to mankind, including but not limited to arthritis, all known forms of cancer including leukemias, psoriasis (page 220), AIDs, Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, ulcers (page 229), Hepatitis, Influenza (page 231), Brucellosis, Syphilis, Gonorrhea (232), pneumonia, Lyme disease, Tuberculosis, Lupus, Botulism, tetanus, gangrene, Scabies (page 233), and even angiogenesis and tissue and organ regeneration (page 234) would clearly be regarded as incredible by one of ordinary skill in the art of molecular biology.

Art Unit: 1646

It is clear from the instant specification that the receptor protein described therein is what is termed an "orphan receptor" in the art. This is a protein whose cDNA has been isolated because of its similarity to known proteins. There is little doubt that, after complete characterization, this protein may be found to have a specific and substantial credible utility. This further characterization, however, is part of the act of invention and until it has been undertaken Applicant's claimed invention is incomplete. Whereas one could readily employ a putative receptor protein of the instant invention in an assay to identify ligands thereto the information obtained thereby would be of little use until one discovers the identity of those physiological processes moderated by that putative receptor. Because the instant specification has failed to credibly identify a physiological process which has been shown to be influenced by the activation or inhibition of a putative receptor protein of the instant invention an artisan would have no way of predicting what effects the administration of that ligand to an organism would have. If one can not predict the effects that the administration of a ligand of the putative receptor of the instant invention is going to have on an organism then it is unclear as to what practical benefit is derived by the public from the identification of that ligand.

The instant situation is directly analogous to that which was addressed in *Brenner v*.

Manson, 148 U.S.P.Q. 689 (Sus. Ct, 1966), in which a novel compound which was structurally analogous to other compounds which were known to possess anti-cancer activity was alleged to be potentially useful as an anti-tumor agent in the absence of evidence supporting this utility. The court expressed the opinion that all chemical compounds are "useful" to the chemical arts when

Application/Control Number: 09/991,225

Art Unit: 1646

this term is given its broadest interpretation. However, the court held that this broad interpretation was not the intended definition of "useful" as it appears in 35 U.S.C. § 101, which requires that an invention must have either an immediately obvious or fully disclosed "real world" utility. The court held that:

"The basic quid pro quo contemplated by the Constitution and the Congress for granting a patent monopoly is the benefit derived by the public from an invention with substantial utility", "[u]nless and until a process is refined and developed to this point-where specific benefit exists in currently available form-there is insufficient justification for permitting an applicant to engross what may prove to be a broad field", and "a patent is not a hunting license", "[i]t is not a reward for the search, but compensation for its successful conclusion"

The instant claims are drawn to a protein of as yet undetermined function or biological significance. There is absolutely no evidence of record or any line of reasoning that would support a conclusion the a protein of the instant invention is associated in any way with the plurality of causally unrelated disorders that are listed on pages 34 to 42, 63, 64, 206, 207, 212 to 217, 220 and 223 to 235 of the instant specification. Until some actual and specific significance can be attributed to the protein identified in the specification as HGPRBMY11, or the gene encoding it, the instant invention is incomplete. The protein encoded by a DNA of the instant invention is a compound known to be structurally analogous to proteins which are known in the art as G protein-coupled receptors. In the absence of a knowledge of the natural ligands or biological significance of this protein, there is no immediately obvious <u>patentable</u> use for it. To employ a protein of the instant invention in the identification of substances which inhibit or induce

Application/Control Number: 09/991,225

Art Unit: 1646

its activity is clearly to use it as the object of further research which has been determined by the courts to be a utility which, alone, does not support patentability. Since the instant specification does not disclose a credible "real world" use for HGPRBMY11 then the claimed invention is incomplete and, therefore, does not meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 101 as being useful.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3) Claims 42 to 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to adequately teach how to use the instant invention for those reasons given above with regard to the rejection of these claims under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4) Claim 51 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This claim is vague and indefinite because the identity of the polypeptide being produced by the claims method is not indicated. The act of culturing a recombinant host cell inherently results in the production of thousands of different proteins.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John D. Ulm whose telephone number is (703) 308-4008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached at (703) 308-6564.

Art Unit: 1646

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242 or (703) 872-9306. Official responses under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 should be directed to (703) 872-9307.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

PRIMORY SYSMOO

GROUP 1800